

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,141	01/11/2002	Jong Sik Paek	AMKOR-018A	1112	
7663 7	7590 08/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	RUNDA GARRED & BE	CHU, CHRIS C			
ALISO VIEJO	SE, SUITE 250 , CA 92656		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0				
Advisory Action	10/044,141	PAEK, JONG SIK					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	Chris C. Chu	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final ing date of the final reje	Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 21 and 27 - 31</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		o Trous					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	TOM THO SUPERVISORY PATE	MAS NT EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 27 and 30 have been amended to now recite that the plurality of protective layers formed on prescribed regions of respective ones of the leads, ..., at the time of formation. This new limitation requires further search/consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented in pages 10 - 12 of the Response to Final Office Action have been carefully reviewed but fail to be persuasive because Chun-Jen et al. clearly shows in Fig. 5 a generally planar third surface (C and the bottom surface of the element 320 that is exposed from the encapsulating material 340) disposed in opposed to the second surface (B, at the attaching surface area on the element 320 for the chip 350). Thus, Chun-Jen et al. discloses the claimed limitation. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800